Judge's Name\_\_\_\_\_

**Oral Presentation Judging Rubric** 

**Undergraduate Exhibition** 

Presentation # \_\_\_\_\_ Presenter's Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Project title:\_

Fresentation #_	Fresenter's Name		oject dde	***Please total your score f	for us.***
Category	10 (A+) 9 (A) 8 (A-)	7 (B+) 6 (B) 5 (B-)	4 (C+) 3 (C) 2 (C-)	1 (D) 0 (D-)	Score
Title and Abstract	<ul> <li>Authors, collaborators, advisors are clearly identified.</li> <li>Title conveys meaning and access to a general audience</li> <li>Terms are defined clearly</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Authors, collaborators, advisors are clearly identified</li> <li>Title conveys meaning</li> <li>Information requires disciplinary knowledge for understanding</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Author and advisor are clearly identified</li> <li>Title associated with study</li> <li>Information requires specific knowledge for understanding</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Author and advisor are not clearly presented</li> <li>Difficulty in identifying title with the study</li> </ul>	
Context	<ul> <li>Study's intent is clearly and concisely stated</li> <li>Scholarly sources are cited correctly and provide context for the study</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Intent for the study are clear</li> <li>Scholarly sources cited correctly and provide context</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Context is identified but somewhat unclear</li> <li>Scholarly sources are used, but not cited</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Context is not identified</li> <li>Scholarly sources are not used or are inappropriate</li> </ul>	
Visual Item	<ul> <li>The visual item enhances the audience understanding</li> <li>Display of item is appropriate and visible for all audience members</li> <li>Visual item is an essential part of the presentation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Visual item illustrates aspect(s) of the project</li> <li>Display of item is appropriate and visible for all audience members</li> <li>Visual item is used effectively in the presentation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Visual item illustrates aspect(s) of the project</li> <li>Item is displayed but not easily seen</li> <li>Visual item is referenced in the presentation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Visual item lacks intentional choice</li> <li>Appearance lacks professionalism</li> <li>Visual item is not a part of the presentation</li> </ul>	
Inquiry Methods	<ul> <li>Clearly explains process of inquiry</li> <li>Data collection and/or decisions appropriate for the topic</li> <li>Analysis is grounded in prior study</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Inquiry process is identified</li> <li>Data collection and/or decisions appropriate for the topic</li> <li>Analysis process appropriate for these data</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Process of inquiry followed, but not clearly identified</li> <li>Data collection appropriate for the discipline</li> <li>Analysis is organized</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Inquiry process is not a part of the presentation</li> <li>Data collection lacks purpose</li> <li>Disorganized</li> </ul>	
Personal Demeanor	<ul> <li>Establishes eye contact</li> <li>Confident and professional presentation</li> <li>Listens well to questions</li> <li>Is easily heard</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Shows interest in the audience</li> <li>Demonstrates careful preparation for presentation</li> <li>Speaks at an appropriate volume</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Demonstrates clear interest in the topic</li> <li>Preparation is evident</li> <li>Is difficult to hear or understand</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Does not demonstrate interest</li> <li>Preparation is lacking</li> </ul>	
Oral Execution	<ul> <li>Grammar is correctly executed</li> <li>Spoken presentation is clearly planned and organized</li> <li>Professionalism is evident</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Grammar is appropriate</li> <li>Thoughtful preparation is evident</li> <li>Presentation contains meaningful connections between sections</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Grammar is inconsistent</li> <li>Organization efforts are evident</li> <li>Transitions require attention</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Technically weak and lacking</li> <li>Presentation lacks logical flow</li> </ul>	
Presentational Content	<ul> <li>Clearly conveys topic and major points</li> <li>Answers questions well</li> <li>Language is appropriate to the audience and is easily understood</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Knowledgeable and professional presentation</li> <li>Is responsive to questions</li> <li>Language is appropriate and understood within discipline</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Demonstrates basic understanding</li> <li>Presentation is organized</li> <li>Requires specialized knowledge to understand study</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lacks clarity</li> <li>Presentation is not clearly connected to the poster</li> </ul>	

Conclusions	<ul> <li>Strong conclusion/analysis understandably presented</li> <li>Identifies implications and future directions</li> <li>Significance to field and society is conveyed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Appropriate conclusions &amp; analysis</li> <li>Clearly connected to the question and data</li> <li>Significance to field and society conveyed effectively</li> <li>Significance to society is not presented</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Conclusions are not grounded in the study</li> <li>Conclusions are not clearly identified</li> </ul>
Timing	<ul> <li>Presentation is 4-5 minutes in length</li> <li>Pacing is effective and natural</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Presentation is 4-5 minutes in length</li> <li>Pacing is rushed or slow in order to meet time</li> <li>Presentation exceeds 5 minutes or is fewer than 4</li> <li>Pacing is inconsistent or ineffective</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Presentation is extremely outside time requirements</li> <li>Pacing is poorly done</li> </ul>
Judge's discretionary score/ overall quality	Excellent	• Strong • Fair	• Poor
Comments:			Total Score (out of 100)